



Proposal Evaluation Criteria 2009 -2011



Proposal Title: _____

Proposal Number: _____

Key Contact: _____

Reviewer: _____

Please score the proposal according to the following criteria. Maximum points for each criterion are indicated in parentheses. Also please provide applicants with constructive suggestions and feedback on each evaluation criterion to assist them in developing stronger proposals in the future.

1. Does the proposal identify and address at least one SE-TAC priority area? Does the project employ an innovative approach or method to address the problem and make a significant contribution toward solving the problem?

_____ (25 POINTS)

2. Is project likely to result in measurable progress in addressing SE-TAC priority area? How well are outcomes and impacts quantified. See sections G and H in RFP for requirements involving certain types of projects. For example, some projects may need to develop benchmarks and/or use benchmarks already established by state primacy agencies, (e.g., system "Capacity Status" score or improvement in system's "Sanitary Survey"). Examples of other outcome measures include the number of training courses developed/conducted, the number of participants trained, and the impact of the training; number of systems served; number of tests performed; money saved; and man-hours saved. This list is not intended to cover all possible outcome measures. Rather, these examples should serve to help applicants better understand how to define measurable progress for his/her own project.

_____ (25 POINTS)

3. Is project clearly and explicitly linked to small public water systems issues/needs? Are the outcomes generated by this project applicable to other small public drinking water systems? Note: The State Agency Acknowledgement Form (available at www.wrri.msstate.edu/se-tac) counts as 10 points toward meeting this criterion.

_____ (30 POINTS)

4. Are the proposed tasks, work schedule, and budget appropriate to accomplish the project's objectives? (Note favorable consideration will be given to projects that propose cost-share and/or leverage other funding sources.)

_____ (10 POINTS)

5. Do the qualifications of key personnel and the organization demonstrate an ability to successfully complete the proposed project?

_____ (10 POINTS)

Conflict of Interest Statement: Evaluators please sign acknowledgement and acceptance of the following statement:

To the best of my knowledge, I have no conflict or direct relationship with the key personnel, or organization proposing this project.

Signature of Reviewer: _____

Designated Representative: If you designate a representative to evaluate this proposal, please supply his/her name and contact information in the space provided.

Name of Representative: _____

Organization: _____

Phone Number: _____